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#### Abstract

For a $d$-dimensional cellular automaton with $d \geq 1$ we introduce a rescaled entropy which estimates the growth rate of the entropy at small scales by generalizing previous approaches $[1,9]$. We also define a notion of Lyapunov exponent and proves a Ruelle inequality as already established for $d=1$ in [20, 18]. Finally we generalize the entropy formula for 1-dimensional permutative cellular automata [21] to the rescaled entropy in higher dimensions. This last result extends recent works [19] of Shinoda and Tsukamoto dealing with the metric mean dimensions of two-dimensional symbolic dynamics.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper we estimate the dynamical complexity of multidimensional cellular automata. In the following the main results will be stated in a more general setting, but let us focus in this introduction on the following algebraic cellular automaton on $\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}^{d}}$ with $p$ prime given for some finite family $\left(a_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}, I \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d}$, by

$$
\forall\left(x_{j}\right)_{j} \in\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}^{d}}, f\left(\left(x_{j}\right)_{j}\right)=\left(\sum_{i \in I} a_{i} x_{i+j}\right)_{j}
$$

Let $I^{\prime}=I \cup\{0\}$. For $d=1$ the topological entropy of $f$ is finite and equal to $\operatorname{diam}\left(I^{\prime}\right) \log p$ where $\operatorname{diam}\left(I^{\prime}\right)$ denotes the diameter of $I^{\prime}$ for the usual distance on $\mathbb{R}$ [21]. However in higher dimensions the topological entropy of $f$ is always infinite unless $I=\{0\}[15,10]$. Moreover the topological entropy of the $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}^{d}$-action given by $f$ and the shift vanishes. It was expected that the topological entropy of any cellular automaton for $d>1$ was either zero or infinity, but T. Meyerovitch built a two-dimensional counterexample [13].

In this paper we investigate the growth rate of $\left(h_{t o p}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{J_{n}}\right)\right)_{n}$ for nondecreasing sequences $\left(J_{n}\right)$ of convex subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ where $\left(\mathrm{P}_{J_{n}}\right)_{n}$ denotes the clopen partitions into $J_{n} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ coordinates. This sequence appears to increase as the perimeter $p\left(J_{n}\right)$ of $J_{n}$. We define the rescaled entropy $h_{\text {top }}^{d}(f)$ of $f$ as $\lim \sup _{J_{n}} \frac{h_{\text {top }}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{J_{n}}\right)}{p\left(J_{n}\right)}$. In [9] another renormalization is used, whereas in [1] the authors only investigate the case of squares $J_{n}=[-n, n]^{2}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $d=1$ we get $h_{\text {top }}^{1}(f)=\frac{h_{\text {top }}(f)}{2}$. We generalize the entropy formula for algebraic cellular automata as follows :
Theorem 1. Let $f$ be an algebraic cellular automaton on $\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}^{d}}$ as above, then

$$
h_{t o p}^{d}(f)=R_{I^{\prime}} \log p
$$

where $R_{I^{\prime}}$ denotes the radius of the smallest bounding sphere containing $I^{\prime}$.
In fact we establish such a formula for any permutative cellular automaton (see Section 7). In [19] the authors compute, inter alia, the metric mean dimension of the horizontal shift in $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ for some standard distances. These dimensions may be interpreted as the rescaled entropy
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with respect to some particular sequence of convex sets $\left(J_{n}\right)_{n}$. In particular we extend these results in higher dimensions for general permutative cellular automata.

We also consider a measure theoretical analogous quantity of the rescaled entropy. In dimension one, a notion of Lyapunov exponent has been defined in [18]. Then Tisseur [20] proved in this case a Ruelle inequality relating this exponent with the Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy. In this paper we also introduce a notion of Lyapunov exponent in higher dimensions, which bounds from above the rescaled entropy of measures.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we state some measure geometrical properties of convex sets in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. We estimate the cardinality of integer points in the morphological boundary of large convex sets in Section 3. We recall the dynamical background of cellular automata in Section 4 and we introduce then a Lyapunov exponent for multidimensional cellular automata. In Section 5 we define and study the topological and measure theoretical rescaled entropy. We prove the Ruelle type inequality in Section 6. Section 7 is devoted to the proof of the entropy formula for permutative cellular automata. Finally we discuss in the last section a generalization of the rescaled entropy for any endomorphism of a $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-action.

## 2. Background on convex geometry

2.1. Convex bodies, domains and polytopes. For a fixed positive integer $d$ we endow the vector space $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with its usual Euclidean structure. The associated scalar product (resp. norm) is simply denoted by • (resp. $\left\|\|\right.$ ) and we let $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ be the unit sphere. For a subset $F$ of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ we let $\bar{F}, \operatorname{Int}(F)$ and $\partial F$ be respectively its closure, interior set and boundary. We let $\sharp F$ be the number of integer points in $F$, i.e. $\sharp F=\left|F \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}\right|$. We also denote by $V(F)$ the $d$-Lebesgue measure of $F$ (also called the volume of $F$ ) when the set $F$ is Borel.

The extremal set of a convex set $J$ is denoted by $\operatorname{ex}(J)$ and the convex hull of $F \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ by $\operatorname{cv}(F)$. A convex body is a compact convex set of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. A convex body containing the origin $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ in its interior set is said to be a convex domain. The set of convex bodies endowed with the Hausdorff topology is a locally compact metrizable space. In the following we denote by $\mathcal{D}$ the set of convex domains endowed with the Hausdorff topology. A convex polytope (resp. $k$-polytope with $k \leq d$ ) in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ is a convex body given by the convex hull of a finite set (resp. with topological dimension equal to $k$ ). When this finite set lies inside the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$, the convex polytope is said integral. We let $\mathcal{F}(P)$ be the set of faces of a convex $d$-polytope $P$.

A convex domain $J$ has Lipshitz boundary and finite perimeter $p(J)$. We let $\mathcal{D}^{1}$ be the subset of $\mathcal{D}$ given by convex domains with unit perimeter. We denote by $\widetilde{J}=p(J)^{-\frac{1}{d-1}} \in \mathcal{D}^{1}$ the normalization of a convex domain $J$. For convex domains the perimeter in the distributional sense of De Giorgi coincides with the $(d-1)$-Hausdorff measure $\mathcal{H}_{d-1}$ of the boundary. For $J \in \mathcal{D}$ we let $\partial^{\prime} J$ be the subset of points $x \in \partial J$, where the tangent space $T_{x} J$ is well defined. The set $\partial^{\prime} J$ has full $\mathcal{H}_{d-1}$-measure in $\partial J$. We let $N^{J}(x) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ be the unit $J$ external normal vector at $x \in \partial^{\prime} J$. For any $x \in \partial^{\prime} J$ we let $T_{x}^{+} J$ (resp. $T_{x}^{-} J$ ) be the open external (resp. closed internal) semi-space with boundary $T_{x} J$. With these notations we have $J=\bigcap_{x \in \partial^{\prime} J} T_{x}^{-} J$. For $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ we denote by $T_{x}^{ \pm} J(\epsilon)$ the semi-planes $T_{x}^{ \pm} J(\epsilon)=T_{x}^{ \pm} J+\epsilon N^{J}(x)$. When $J$ is a convex $d$-polytope and $F \in \mathcal{F}(J)$, we write $T_{F}$ to denote the tangent affine space supporting $F, T_{F}^{ \pm}$for the associated semi-spaces and $N^{F}$ for the unit external normal to $F$.

The support function of a convex body $I$ is the real continuous function $h_{I}$ on $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ :

$$
\forall x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}, h_{I}(x)=\max _{u \in I} u \cdot x
$$

The support function completely characterizes the convex body $I$. The area measure $\sigma_{J}$ of a convex domain $J$ is the Borel measure on $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ given by $N_{*}^{J} \mathcal{H}_{d-1}$ :

$$
\forall B \text { Borel of } \mathbb{S}^{d-1}, \sigma_{J}(B)=\mathcal{H}_{d-1}\left(\left(N^{J}\right)^{-1} B\right)
$$

If a sequence $\left(J_{n}\right)_{n}$ in $\mathcal{D}$ is converging to $J_{\infty} \in \mathcal{D}$ (for the Hausdorff topology), then $\sigma_{J_{n}}$ is converging weakly to $\sigma_{J_{\infty}}$, in particular the perimeter of $J_{n}$ goes to the perimeter of $J_{\infty}$ (see Proposition 10.2 in [7]). Consequently, $\mathcal{D}^{1}$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{D}$.
2.2. Convex exhaustions. An exhaustion is a sequence $\mathcal{J}=\left(J_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ satisfying $\bigcup_{n} J_{n}=\mathbb{R}^{d}$. In this paper we consider exhaustions $\mathcal{J}=\left(J_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of convex domains with $p\left(J_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{n}+\infty$, such that the sets $\widetilde{J_{n}}=p\left(J_{n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{d-1}} J_{n} \in \mathcal{D}^{1}$ are converging to a limit $J_{\infty} \in \mathcal{D}$ in the Hausdorff topology. Then the limit $J_{\infty}$ has unit perimeter. The sequences $\mathcal{J}=\left(J_{n}\right)_{n}$ satisfying the above properties are said to be convex exhaustions. For $O \in \mathcal{D}^{1}$ we denote by $\mathcal{E}(O)$ the set of convex exhaustions $\mathcal{J}=\left(J_{n}\right)_{n}$ with $J_{\infty}=O$. Moreover for $O \in \mathcal{D}$ we let $\mathcal{J}_{O} \in \mathcal{E}(\widetilde{O})$ be the convex exhaustion given by $\mathcal{J}_{O}:=(n O)_{n}$.

The inner radius $r(E)$ of a subset $E$ of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ is the largest $a \geq 0$ such that $E$ contains a Euclidean ball of radius $a$. For two subsets $E$ and $F$ of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ we let $E \Delta F$ be the symmetric difference of $E$ and $F$ given by $E \Delta F:=(E \backslash F) \cup(F \backslash E)$.
Lemma 1. Let $O \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{J}=\left(J_{n}\right)_{n} \in \mathcal{E}(O)$. Then any sequence of convex bodies $\mathcal{K}=$ $\left(K_{n}\right)_{n}$ with $r\left(K_{n} \Delta J_{n}\right)=o\left(p\left(J_{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{d-1}}\right)$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}(O)$ and $p\left(K_{n}\right) \sim^{n} p\left(J_{n}\right)$.
Proof. We claim that $p\left(J_{n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{d-1}} K_{n}$ is converging to $J_{\infty}$ in the Hausdorff topology. Then by taking the perimeter in this limit we get $\lim _{n} \frac{p\left(K_{n}\right)}{p\left(J_{n}\right)}=p\left(J_{\infty}\right)=1$ and therefore $\widetilde{K_{n}}=$ $p\left(K_{n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{d-1}} K_{n}$ also goes to $J_{\infty}=O$. Let us prove now the claim. Fix an Euclidean ball $B$ with $J_{\infty} \subset \operatorname{Int} B$. It is enough to show that $p\left(J_{n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{d-1}} K_{n} \cap B$ is converging to $J_{\infty}$. Indeed as $K_{n}$ is convex, this will imply that $p\left(J_{n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{d-1}} K_{n}$ is contained in $B$ for $n$ large enough (if not $p\left(J_{n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{d-1}} K_{n} \cap \partial B$ is non empty for infinitely many $n$ and therefore we should have $J_{\infty} \cap \partial B \neq \emptyset$ ). By extracting a subsequence we may assume $p\left(J_{n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{d-1}} K_{n} \cap B$ is converging to a convex body $K_{\infty}$ and we need to prove $K_{\infty}=J_{\infty}$. We argue by contradiction. As $J_{\infty}$ is a convex domain, we have either $\operatorname{Int}\left(J_{\infty}\right) \backslash K_{\infty} \neq \emptyset$ or $\operatorname{Int}\left(K_{\infty}\right) \backslash J_{\infty} \neq \emptyset$. But for $x$ in one of these sets, there is $s>0$ such that the balls $p\left(J_{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{d-1}} B(x, s)$ are contained in $K_{n} \Delta J_{n}$, therefore $r\left(K_{n} \Delta J_{n}\right) \geq s p\left(J_{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{d-1}}$, for $n$ large enough.
Remark 2. If $\sharp K_{n} \Delta J_{n}=o\left(p\left(J_{n}\right)^{\frac{d}{d-1}}\right)$ then the condition on the inner radius in Lemma 1 holds and therefore $\mathcal{K}$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}(O)$.
2.3. Internal and external morphological boundary. We recall some terminology of mathematical morphology used in image processing. For two subsets $I$ and $J$ of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, the dilation (also known as the Minkowski sum) $J \oplus I$ and the erosion $J \ominus I$ of $J$ by $I$ are defined as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J \oplus I=\{i+j \mid i \in I \text { and } j \in J\} \\
& J \ominus I=\left\{j \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \mid \forall i \in I, i+j \in J\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

When the origin 0 belongs to $I$ then we have $J \subset J \oplus I$ and $J \ominus I \subset J$. When $J$ is a convex body then $J \ominus I$ is a convex body. Assume now that $I$ is also a convex body. The dilation $J \oplus I$ is then also a convex body with $\operatorname{ex}(J \oplus I) \subset \operatorname{ex}(I) \oplus \operatorname{ex}(J)$. In particular, when $I$ and $J$ are moreover convex polytopes, then so is $J \oplus I$. We have $J \ominus I=\bigcap_{x \in \partial^{\prime} J} T_{x}^{-} J\left(h_{I}\left(-N^{J}(x)\right)\right)$ (also $J \oplus I \subset \bigcap_{x \in \partial^{\prime} J} T_{x}^{-} J\left(h_{I}\left(N^{J}(x)\right)\right)$, but this last inclusion may be strict). When $J$ is a convex polytope, the above intersection is finite, thus $J \ominus I$ is also a convex polytope. The convex bodies given by the erosion $J \ominus I$ and the dilation $J \oplus I$ are also known as the inner and outer parallel bodies of $J$ relative to $I$. We recall that $h_{J \oplus I}=h_{J}+h_{I}$. In particular when $I=\{i\}$ is a singleton, we get $h_{J+i}(x)=h_{J}(x)+i \cdot x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. In general we only have $h_{J \ominus I} \leq h_{J}-h_{I}$.

The internal and external (morphological) boundaries of $J$ relative to $I$ denoted respectively by $\partial_{I}^{-} J$ and $\partial_{I}^{+} J$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{I}^{+} J=(I \oplus J) \backslash J \\
& \partial_{I}^{-} J=J \backslash(J \ominus I) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly we have $\partial_{I}^{ \pm} J=\partial_{I^{\prime}}^{ \pm} J$ with $I^{\prime}=I \cup\{0\}$. When $J$ is a convex domain then we have $\partial_{I}^{-} J=\partial_{\mathrm{cv}(I)}^{-} J$ and $\partial_{I}^{+} J \subset \partial_{\mathrm{cv}(I)}^{+} J$. In the following the set $I$ will be fixed so that we omit the index $I$ in the above definitions when there is no confusion.

Finally we observe that $r\left(J_{n} \Delta\left(J_{n} \oplus I\right)\right), r\left(J_{n} \Delta\left(J_{n} \ominus I\right)\right) \leq \operatorname{diam}\left(I^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore it follows from Lemma 1, that if $\left(J_{n}\right)_{n}$ is a convex exhaustion and $I$ a convex body then $\left(J_{n} \ominus I\right)_{n}$ and $\left(J_{n} \oplus I\right)_{n}$ define convex exhaustions with the same limit as $\left(J_{n}\right)_{n}$.

## 3. Counting integer points in morphological boundary of large convex sets

For a large convex domain $J$ and a fixed integral polytope $I$ we estimate the cardinality of the integer points in the morphological boundaries of $J$ relative to $I$.
3.1. First relative quermass integral. Let $O$ be a convex domain and let $I$ be a convex body. For $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ we let

$$
O_{\rho}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
O \oplus \rho I \text { when } \rho \geq 0 \\
O \ominus \rho I \text { when } \rho<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Proposition 3.

$$
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{V\left(O_{\rho}\right)-V(O)}{\rho}=\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} h_{I} d \sigma_{O}
$$

For $\rho>0$ the formula follows from Minkowski's formula on mixed volume (see Theorem 6.5 and Corollary 10.1 in [7]). For $\rho<0$ we refer to [12] (see also Lemma 2 in [4] for the 2-dimensional case).

In the following we denote by $V_{I}(O)$ the integral $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} h_{I} d \sigma_{O}$. The product $d \cdot V_{I}(O)$ is known as the first $I$-relative quermass integral of $O$. For convex bodies $I \subset H$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $V_{I}(O) \leq V_{H}(O)$ and $V_{k I}(O)=k V_{I}(O)$ for any convex domain $O$. The support function $h_{I}$ being continuous, the first $I$-relative quermass integral of $O$ is continuous with respect to the Hausdorff topology, i.e. if $\left(O_{n}\right)_{n}$ is a sequence of convex domains converging to a convex domain $O_{\infty}$ in the Hausdorff topology, then we have

$$
V_{I}\left(O_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow+\infty} V_{I}\left(O_{\infty}\right)
$$

We deduce now from Proposition 3 an estimate on the volume of the morphological boundary for large convex sets.
Corollary 4. Let $I$ be a convex body containing 0 and let $O \in \mathcal{D}$. Then

$$
V\left(\partial_{I}^{ \pm} n O\right) \sim n^{d-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} h_{I} d \sigma_{O}
$$

Proof. We only consider the case of the external boundary as one may argue similarly for the internal boundary. For all $n>0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
V\left(\partial_{I}^{+} n O\right) & =V(n O \oplus I)-V(n O) \\
& =n^{d}\left(V\left(O \oplus n^{-1} I\right)-V(O)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

According to Proposition 3 we conclude that

$$
V\left(\partial_{I}^{+} n O\right) \sim n^{d-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} h_{I} d \sigma_{O}
$$

3.2. Counting integer points in large convex sets. After Gauss circle problem, counting lattice points in convex sets has been extensively investigated. Let $\mathrm{C}=[0,1]^{d}$. Clearly for any Borel subset $K$ of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have always

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sharp K \leq V(K \oplus \mathrm{C}) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the other hand, Bokowski, Hadwiger and Wills have proved the following general (sharp) inequality for any convex domain $O$ [2] :

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(O)-\frac{p(O)}{2} \leq \sharp O \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

There exist precise asymptotic estimates of $\sharp x O$ for large $x>0$ for convex smooth domains $O$ having positive curvature, in particular we have in this case $\sharp x O=V(x O)+o\left(x^{d-1}\right)$ [8].
3.3. Estimate of $\sharp \partial_{I}^{ \pm} n O$ for $O \in \mathcal{D}$. For a real sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n}$ and two numbers $l$ and $c>0$ we write $a_{n} \sim l \pm c$ when the accumulation points of $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n}$ lie in $[l-c, l+c]$.

Lemma 2. There exists a constant $c$ depending only on $d$ such that we have for any convex domain $O \in \mathcal{D}$ and any convex body $I$ of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with $0 \in I$ :

$$
\frac{\sharp \partial_{I}^{ \pm} n O}{n^{d-1}} \sim V_{I}(O) \pm c
$$

Proof. We only argue for $\partial_{I}^{+} n O$, the other case being similar. We have $\sharp \partial_{I}^{+} n O=\sharp n O \oplus I-$ $\sharp n O$, and then by combining Equation (3.1) and (3.2) we get :

$$
V(n O \oplus I)-\frac{p(n O \oplus I)}{2}-V(n O+\mathrm{C}) \quad \leq \sharp \partial_{I}^{+} n O \quad \leq V(n O \oplus I \oplus \mathrm{C})-V(n O)+\frac{p(n O)}{2}
$$

After dividing by $n^{d-1}$, the right (resp. left) hand side term is going to $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}\left(h_{I}-h_{\mathrm{C}}-\right.$ $1 / 2) d \sigma_{O}$ (resp. $\left.\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}\left(h_{I}+h_{\mathrm{C}}+1 / 2\right) d \sigma_{O}\right)$ according to Corollary 4.
3.4. Upperbound of $\sharp \partial^{-} J_{n}$ for general convex exhaustions. For a subset $E$ of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and for $r>0$ we let $E(r):=\{x \in E, d(x, \partial E) \leq r\}$ with $d$ being the Euclidean distance. With the previous notations we may also write $E(r)=\partial_{B_{r}}^{-} E$ where $B_{r}$ denotes the Euclidean ball centered at 0 with radius $r$.

Lemma 3. For any convex domain $J$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, we have

$$
V(J(r)) \leq r p(J)
$$

Proof. We first assume that $J$ is a convex $d$-polytope. Let $x \in J(r)$. There is $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{~J})$ with $\left\|x-x_{F}\right\| \leq d(x, F)=d(x, \partial J) \leq r$, where $x_{F}$ denotes the orthogonal projection of $x$ onto $T_{F}$. Observe that $x_{F}$ belongs to $F$ : if not the segment line $\left[x, x_{F}\right]$ would have a non empty intersection with $\partial J$ and the intersection point $y \in \partial J$ would satisfy $\|x-y\|<\left\|x-x_{F}\right\| \leq$ $d(x, \partial J)$. Therefore $J(r) \subset \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}(J)} R_{F}(r)$ with $R_{F}(r):=\left\{x-t N^{F}(x), x \in F\right.$ and $\left.t \in[0, r]\right\}$. Finally we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
V(J(r)) & \leq \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}(J)} V\left(R_{F}(r)\right) \\
& \leq r p(J)
\end{aligned}
$$

For a general convex domain, there is a nondecreasing sequence $\left(J_{p}\right)_{p}$ of convex $d$-polytopes contained in $J$ converging to $J$ in the Hausdorff topology. Then the characteristic function of $J_{p}(r)$ is converging pointwisely to the characteristic function of $J(r)$, in particular $V\left(J_{p}(r)\right) \xrightarrow{p}$ $V(J(r))$. Moreover $p\left(J_{p}\right)$ goes to $p(J)$, so that the desired inequality is obtained by taking the limit in the inequalities for the convex $d$-polytopes $J_{p}$.

Proposition 5. For any convex exhaustion $\left(J_{n}\right)_{n}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, we have

$$
\limsup _{n} \frac{\sharp \partial_{I}^{-} J_{n}}{p\left(J_{n}\right)} \leq \operatorname{diam}\left(I^{\prime}\right)+\sqrt{d} .
$$

Proof. As already observed, we have $\sharp \partial^{-} J_{n} \leq V\left(\partial^{-} J_{n} \oplus \mathrm{C}\right)$ with $\mathrm{C}=[0,1]^{d}$. Let $\left(J_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n}$ be the sequence given by $J_{n}^{\prime}=J_{n} \oplus \mathrm{C}$ for all $n$. By Lemma 1 this sequence is a convex exhaustion with $p\left(J_{n}^{\prime}\right) \sim^{n} p\left(J_{n}\right)$. Moreover $\partial^{-} J_{n} \oplus \mathrm{C}$ is contained in $J_{n}^{\prime}(c)$ with $c=\operatorname{diam}\left(I^{\prime}\right)+\operatorname{diam}(\mathrm{C})$. Therefore we conclude according to Lemma 3 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sharp \partial^{-} J_{n} & \leq V\left(J_{n}^{\prime}(c)\right), \\
& \leq c p\left(J_{n}^{\prime}\right), \\
& \lesssim^{n} c p\left(J_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 6. We conjecture that $\lim _{n} \frac{\sharp \partial_{I}^{-} J_{n}}{p\left(J_{n}\right)}=V_{I}\left(J_{\infty}\right)$ holds for any convex exhaustion $\left(J_{n}\right)_{n}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. We manage to show it only in dimension 2 , but we prefer to omit the proof as such finer estimates are useless in the dynamical applications given in the present paper.
3.5. Supremum of $O \mapsto V_{I}(O)$. In this section we investigate the supremum of $V_{I}$ on $\mathcal{D}^{1}$ for a given convex polytope $I$ of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. We recall that there is a unique sphere $S_{I}$ containing $I$ with minimal radius, usually called the smallest bounding sphere of $I$. We let $R_{I}$ and $x_{I}$ be respectively the radius and the center of $S_{I}$. There are at least two distinct points in $S_{I} \cap I$, whenever $I$ is not reduced to a singleton, and $S_{I} \cap I \subset \operatorname{ex}(I)$. Moreover we have the following alternative :

- either there is a finite subset of $S_{I} \cap I$ generating an inscribable polytope $T$ with $\operatorname{Int}(T) \ni x_{I}$ (in particular the interior set of $I$ is non empty),
- or there is a hyperplane $H$ containing $x_{I}$ such that $I$ lies in an associated semispace and $S_{I} \cap H$ is the smallest bounding sphere of $I \cap H$.
The smallest bounding sphere $S_{I}$ (or $I$ itself) will be said nondegenerated (resp. degenerated) and an associated polytope $T$ (resp. hyperplane $H$ ) is said generating. For an inscribable polytope $T$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ we may define its dual $T^{\prime}$ as the polytope given by the intersection of the inner semispaces tangent to the circumsphere of $T$ at the vertices of $T$. In the following $T^{\prime}$ always denotes the dual polytope of a generating polytope $T$ with respect to $I$.

When $S_{I}$ is degenerated, there is a sequence of affine spaces $H=H_{1} \supset H_{2} \supset \cdots H_{l} \ni x_{I}$ such that $I \cap H_{l}$ is nondegenerated in $H_{l}$ and for all $1 \leq i<l$ the convex polytope $I \cap H_{i}$ is degenerated in $H_{i}$ with $H_{i+1}$ as an associated generating hyperplane ( $H_{i}$ is a $d-i$ dimensional affine space). We denote by $L$ a generating polytope of $I \cap H_{l}$ in $H_{l}$ and by $L^{\prime}$ its dual polytope in $H_{l}$. Let $U$ be an isometry of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ mapping $H_{i}$ for $i=1, \cdots, l$ to $\left\{0_{i}\right\} \times \mathbb{R}^{d-i}$ (where $0_{i}$ denotes the origin of $\left.\mathbb{R}^{i}\right)$ with $U\left(x_{I}\right)=0$. Then for $R>0$ we let $T_{R}^{\prime}:=U^{-1}\left([-R, R]^{l} \times U\left(L^{\prime}\right)\right)$. The faces $F$ of $T_{R}^{\prime}$ satisfy
(1) either $F=U^{-1}\left([-R, R]^{l} \times U(\mathrm{~F})\right)$ for some face F of $L^{\prime}$,
(2) or $F=U^{-1}\left([-R, R]^{l-1} \times\{ \pm R\}_{i} \times U\left(L^{\prime}\right)\right)$ for $i=1, \cdots, l$ (where $\{ \pm R\}_{i}$ coresponds to the $i^{\text {th }}$ coordinate of the product).
For $i=1,2$ we let $\mathcal{F}_{i}\left(T_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ be the subset of $\mathcal{F}\left(T_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ given by the faces of the $i^{\text {th }}$ category.
Observe that when $x_{I}$ coincides with the origin then $T^{\prime}$ or $T_{R}^{\prime}, R>0$ are convex domains.

## Proposition 7.

$$
\sup _{O \in \mathcal{D}^{1}} V_{I}(O)=R_{I}
$$

The supremum of $V_{I}$ is achieved if and only if $S_{I}$ is nondegenerated. The supremum is then achieved at $\widetilde{T^{\prime}}$ with $T^{\prime}$ being the dual polytope of a generating polytope $T$.

Proof. For any $v \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{I+v}(O) & =\int h_{I+v} d \sigma_{O} \\
& =\int h_{I} d \sigma_{O}+\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} v \cdot u d \sigma_{O}(u) \\
& =\int h_{I} d \sigma_{O}+\int_{\partial O} v \cdot N^{O} d \mathcal{H}_{d-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the divergence formula we have $\int_{\partial O} v \cdot N^{O} d \mathcal{H}_{d-1}=0$ for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $O \in \mathcal{D}^{1}$. Therefore we may assume $x_{I}=0$. With the above notations we have $\max _{u \in I} u \cdot v \leq R_{I}$ for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ with $\|v\|=1$ with equality iff $v$ belongs to $R_{I}^{-1} I$. Therefore $V_{I}(O) \leq R_{I}$ for any $O \in \mathcal{D}^{1}$. Moreover if the equality occurs then for $x$ in a subset $E$ of $\partial O$ with full $\mathcal{H}_{d-1^{-}}$ measure, $h_{I}\left(N^{O}(x)\right)=\max _{u \in I} u \cdot x=R_{I}$ and therefore the normal unit vector $N^{O}(x)$ belongs to $R_{I}^{-1} I$. But as $O$ is a convex domain, we may find $d+1$ points $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{d+1}$ in $E$ in such a way the origin belongs to the interior of the simplex $T=R_{I} \mathrm{cv}\left(N^{O}\left(x_{1}\right), \cdots, N^{O}\left(x_{d+1}\right)\right)$. Thus $S_{I}$ is nondegenerated and the polytope $T$ is a generating polytope with respect to $I$. Moreover we have with the above notations

$$
\int h_{I} d \sigma_{T^{\prime}}=R_{I} p\left(T^{\prime}\right)
$$

Therefore $\widetilde{T^{\prime}}$ achieves the supremum of $V_{I}$ on $\mathcal{D}^{1}$. We consider now the degenerated case. With the above notations, we have $h_{I}\left(N^{F}\right)=R_{I}$ for any $F \in \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(T_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ (recall we assume $x_{I}=0$ without loss of generality). Moreover $\mathcal{H}_{d-1}\left(\bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{2}\left(T_{R}^{\prime}\right)} F\right)=o\left(p\left(T_{R}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ when $R$ goes to infinity. Therefore the renormalization $\widetilde{T_{R}^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{D}^{1}$ of $T_{R}^{\prime}$ satisfies

$$
V_{I}\left(\widetilde{T_{R}^{\prime}}\right) \xrightarrow{R \rightarrow+\infty} R_{I}
$$

## 4. Cellular automata

4.1. Definitions. We consider a finite set $\mathcal{A}$. We endow the set $\mathcal{A}$ with the discrete topology and $X_{d}=\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^{d}}$ with the product topology. We consider the $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-shift $\sigma$ on $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^{d}}$ defined for $l \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ and $u=\left(u_{k}\right)_{k} \in X_{d}$ by $\sigma^{l}(u)=\left(u_{k+l}\right)_{k}$. Any closed subset $X$ of $X_{d}$ invariant under the action of $\sigma$ is called a $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-subshift. We fix such a subshift $X$ in the remaining of the paper.

For a bounded subset $J$ of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ we consider the partition $\mathrm{P}_{J}$ into $J \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}$-cylinders, i.e. the element $\mathrm{P}_{J}^{x}$ of $\mathrm{P}_{J}$ containing $x=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \in X$ is given by $\mathrm{P}_{J}^{x}:=\left\{y=\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \in X, \forall i \in\right.$ $\left.J \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d} y_{i}=x_{i}\right\}$. In other terms we may define $\mathrm{P}_{J}$ as the joined partition $\bigvee_{j \in J \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \sigma^{-j} \mathrm{P}_{0}$ with $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ being the zero-coordinate partition.

A cellular automaton (CA for short) defined on a $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-subshift $X$ is a continuous map $f: X \rightarrow X$ which commutes with the shift action $\sigma$. By a famous theorem of Hedlund [16] the cellular automaton $f$ is given by a local rule, i.e. there exists a finite subset $I$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ and a map $F: \mathcal{A}^{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$
\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}(f x)_{j}=F\left(\left(x_{j+i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)
$$

The (smallest) subset $I$ is called the domain of the CA. Recall $I^{\prime}=I \cup\{0\}$ and let $\mathbb{I}$ be the convex hull of $I^{\prime}$.
4.2. Lyapunov exponents for higher dimensional cellular automata. Lyapunov exponent of one-dimensional cellular automata have been defined in [18, 20]. We develop a similar theory in higher dimensions. Let $f$ be a CA on a $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-subshift $X$ with domain $I$.

Given a convex body $J$ of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $x \in X$, we let

$$
\mathcal{E}_{f}(x, J):=\left\{K \text { convex body, } f \mathrm{P}_{J}^{x} \subset \mathrm{P}_{K}^{f x}\right\}
$$

A priori the family $\mathcal{E}_{f}(x, J)$ does not admit a greatest element for the inclusion. Observe also that the convex body $J \ominus I$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}_{f}(x, J)$, in particular this family is not empty. Then we let for all $x$ :

$$
\operatorname{gr}_{J} f(x):=\min \left\{\sharp J \backslash K, K \in \mathcal{E}_{f}(x, J)\right\} .
$$

The family $\mathcal{E}_{f}(x, J)$ and the function $\operatorname{gr}_{J} f(x)$ are constant on each atom $A$ of $\mathrm{P}_{J}$, thus we let $\mathcal{E}_{f}(A, J)$ and $\operatorname{gr}_{J} f(A)$ be these quantities. We denote by $\mathcal{D}_{f}(x, J)$ the subfamily of $\mathcal{E}_{f}(x, J)$ consisting in $K$ with $\sharp J \backslash K=\operatorname{gr}_{J} f(x)$. For $K$ in $\mathcal{D}_{f}(x, J)$ the intersection $K \cap J$ defines a convex body, which belongs also to $\mathcal{D}_{f}(x, J)$.

For a convex exhaustion $\mathcal{J}=\left(J_{n}\right)_{n}$, we define the growth $\operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{J}} f$ with respect to $\mathcal{J}$ as the following real functions on $X$ :

$$
\operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{J}} f:=\limsup _{n} \frac{\operatorname{gr}_{J_{n}} f}{p\left(J_{n}\right)}
$$

Finally we let for a convex domain $O \in \mathcal{D}^{1}$ :

$$
\operatorname{gr}_{O} f=\sup _{\mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{E}(O)} \operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{J}} f
$$

Lemma 4. The sequence of functions $\left(\operatorname{gr}_{O} f^{k}\right)_{k}$ is a subadditive cocycle, i.e.

$$
\forall k, l \in \mathbb{N} \forall x \in X, \operatorname{gr}_{O} f^{k+l}(x) \leq \operatorname{gr}_{O} f^{l}\left(f^{k} x\right)+\operatorname{gr}_{O} f^{k}(x)
$$

Proof. Fix $x \in X$ and $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathcal{J}=\left(J_{n}\right)_{n} \in \mathcal{E}(O)$. We consider a sequence $\mathcal{K}:=\left(K_{n}\right)_{n}$ of convex bodies in $\prod_{n} \mathcal{D}_{f^{k}}\left(x, J_{n}\right)$ with $K_{n} \subset J_{n}$ for all $n$. Let $I_{k}$ be the domain of $f^{k}$. The convex body $J_{n} \ominus I_{k}$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}_{f^{k}}\left(x, J_{n}\right)$ for all $n$. By Proposition 5, we have $\sharp J_{n} \backslash K_{n} \leq$ $\sharp \partial_{I_{k}}^{-} J_{n}=O\left(p\left(J_{n}\right)\right)$. It follows from Lemma 1 and Remark 2 that $\mathcal{K}$ is a convex exhaustion in $\mathcal{E}(O)$ with $p\left(K_{n}\right) \sim^{n} p\left(J_{n}\right)$. We also let $\mathcal{L}=\left(L_{n}\right)_{n} \in \prod_{n} \mathcal{D}_{f^{l}}\left(f^{k} x, K_{n}\right)$ with $L_{n} \subset K_{n}$ for all $n$. Similarly the sequence $\mathcal{L}$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}(O)$ with $p\left(L_{n}\right) \sim^{n} p\left(J_{n}\right)$. Then we have for all positive integers $n$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{k+l} \mathrm{P}_{J_{n}}^{x} & =f^{l}\left(f^{k} \mathrm{P}_{J_{n}}^{x}\right), \\
& \subset f^{l}\left(\mathrm{P}_{K_{n}}^{f^{k} x}\right), \\
& \subset \mathrm{P}_{L_{n}}^{f^{k+l} x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{gr}_{J_{n}} f^{k+l}(x) & \leq \sharp J_{n} \backslash L_{n}, \\
& \leq \sharp J_{n} \backslash K_{n}+\sharp K_{n} \backslash L_{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{J}} f^{k+l}(x) & =\limsup _{n} \frac{\operatorname{gr}_{J_{n}} f}{p\left(J_{n}\right)}, \\
& \leq \limsup _{n} \frac{\operatorname{gr}_{K_{n}} f}{p\left(J_{n}\right)}+\limsup _{n} \frac{\operatorname{gr}_{L_{n}} f}{p\left(J_{n}\right)} \\
& \leq \underset{n}{\limsup _{n}} \frac{\operatorname{gr}_{K_{n}} f}{p\left(K_{n}\right)}+\underset{n}{\limsup } \frac{\operatorname{gr}_{L_{n}} f}{p\left(L_{n}\right)} \\
& \leq \operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{K}} f^{k}(x)+\operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{L}} f^{l}\left(f^{k} x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

As the sequences $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ lie in $\mathcal{E}(O)$ we conclude that

$$
\operatorname{gr}_{O} f^{k+l}(x) \leq \operatorname{gr}_{O} f^{k}(x)+\operatorname{gr}_{O} f^{l}\left(f^{k} x\right)
$$

The nonnegative function $\operatorname{gr}_{O} f$ satisfies $\operatorname{gr}_{O} f \leq \sup _{\mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{E}(O)} \lim \sup _{n} \frac{\sharp \partial_{I}^{-} J_{n}}{p\left(J_{n}\right)}$ and this last term is finite according to Proposition 5. Therefore the subadditive ergodic theorem applies : for any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X, f)$ the sequence $\left(\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{gr}_{O} f^{n}(x)\right)_{k}$ converge almost everywhere to a $f$-invariant function $\chi_{O}$ with $\int \chi_{O} d \mu=\lim / \inf _{n} \frac{1}{n} \int \operatorname{gr}_{O} f^{n} d \mu$. We call the function $\chi_{O}$ the Lyapunov exponent of $f$ with respect to $O$.

Remark 8. The exponent $\chi_{O}$ for $O \in \mathcal{D}$ plays somehow the role of the sum of the positive Lyapunov exponents in smooth dynamical systems.

## 5. Rescaled entropy of cellular automata

5.1. Definition. We let $\mathcal{M}(f)$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}(f, \sigma)$ ) be the set of invariant Borel probability measures on $X$ which are $f$-invariant (resp. $f$ - and $\sigma$-invariant). For a finite clopen partition P of $X$ we let $H_{t o p}(\mathrm{P})=\log \sharp \mathrm{P}$ and $H_{\mu}(\mathrm{P})=-\sum_{A \in \mathrm{P}} \mu(A) \log \mu(A)$ with $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(f)$. In the following the symbol $*$ denotes either $*=$ top or $*=\mu \in \mathcal{M}(f)$. We let $h_{*}(f, \mathrm{P})$ be the entropy with respect to the clopen partition P :

$$
h_{*}(f, \mathrm{P}):=\lim _{n} \frac{1}{n} H_{*}\left(\bigvee_{k=0}^{n-1} f^{-k} \mathrm{P}\right) .
$$

For two partitions $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q}$ of $X$, we say P is finer than Q and we write $\mathrm{P}>\mathrm{Q}$, when any atom of P is contained in an atom of Q . The functions $H_{*}(\cdot)$ and $h_{*}(f, \cdot)$ are nondecreasing with respect to this order.

The rescaled entropy with respect to a convex exhaustion $\mathcal{J}=\left(J_{n}\right)_{n}$ is defined as follows

$$
h_{*}^{d}(f, \mathcal{J})=\limsup _{n} \frac{h_{*}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{J_{n}}\right)}{p\left(J_{n}\right)}
$$

In [9] the authors defines a similar notion for the rescaled topological entropy with the renormalization factor $\sharp \partial_{I}^{-} J_{n}$ (which depends on the domain $I$ of $f$ ) rather than $p\left(J_{n}\right)$.

Remark 9. For $d=2$, when $J=\bigcup_{i \in I} J_{i}$ is a finite disjoint union of Jordan domains $J_{i}$ with Lipshitz boundary, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{h_{\text {top }}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{J}\right)}{p(J)} & \leq \frac{\sum_{i \in I} h_{\text {top }}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{J_{i}}\right)}{\sum_{i \in I} p\left(J_{i}\right)} \\
& \leq \sup _{i \in I} \frac{h_{\text {top }}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{J_{i}}\right)}{p\left(J_{i}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover for each $i$, we have $p\left(J_{i}\right) \geq p\left(\operatorname{cv}\left(J_{i}\right)\right)$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{cv}\left(J_{i}\right)}$ is finer than $\mathrm{P}_{J_{i}}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{h_{t o p}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{J}\right)}{p(J)} & \leq \frac{\sum_{i \in I} h_{t o p}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{J_{i}}\right)}{\sum_{i \in I} p\left(J_{i}\right)} \\
& \leq \sup _{i \in I} \frac{h_{t o p}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{\operatorname{cv}\left(J_{i}\right)}\right)}{p\left(\operatorname{cv}\left(J_{i}\right)\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

This inequality justifies that we focus on convex bodies $J$ of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.
We let also for any $O \in \mathcal{D}^{1}$

$$
h_{*}^{d}(f, O)=\sup _{\mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{E}(O)} h_{*}^{d}(f, \mathcal{J})
$$

and

$$
h_{*}^{d}(f)=\sup _{\mathcal{J}} h_{*}^{d}(f, \mathcal{J}),
$$

where the last supremum holds over all convex exhaustions $\mathcal{J}$. For $d=1$ we have $p(J)=2$ for any convex subset $J$. Therefore up to a factor 2 we recover the usual definition of entropy, $2 h_{*}^{1}(f)=h_{*}(f)$.
Remark 10. As the CA $f$ commutes with the shift action $\sigma$ we have for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ and any subset $J$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{d} h_{t o p}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{J+k}\right)=h_{\text {top }}\left(f, \sigma^{-k} \mathrm{P}_{J}\right)=h_{\text {top }}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{J}\right)$ and the same holds for the measure theoretical entropy with respect to measures in $\mathcal{M}(f, \sigma)$. Let us call generalized convex domain any convex body with a non empty interior set. Replacing convex domains by generalized convex domains, we may define generalized convex exhaustions $\mathcal{J}$ and the associated rescaled entropies. Then it follows from the aforementioned invariance by translation of the entropy, that $h_{\text {top }}^{d}(O)=h_{\text {top }}^{d}(O+\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and all generalized convex domain $O$ with unit perimeter. Indeed for any $\left(J_{n}\right)_{n} \in \mathcal{E}(O)$ (resp. $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{O}+\alpha)$ ) there is a sequence of integers $\left(k_{n}\right)_{n}$ with $\left(J_{n}+k_{n}\right)_{n} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{O}+\alpha)\left(\operatorname{resp} .\left(J_{n}\right)_{n} \in \mathcal{E}(O)\right)$.

In a seminal work [14], Milnor investigated the $d$-dimensional topological entropy of a compact set $O$ in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$ with respect to the $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}^{d}$-action generated by a CA $f$ and the $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-shift $\sigma$. When $O=\{0\} \times O^{\prime}$ for some $O^{\prime} \in \mathcal{D}$, this $d$-dimensional entropy $\eta_{d}(O)$ may be written as follows :

$$
\eta_{d}(O)=\sup _{m \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\limsup _{n} \frac{1}{n^{d}} H_{t o p}\left(\bigvee_{k=0}^{m-1} f^{-k} \mathrm{P}_{n O^{\prime}}\right)\right)
$$

whereas another renormalization is used here in the definition of the rescaled entropy with respect to $O^{\prime}$ :

$$
h_{t o p}^{d}\left(f, \mathcal{J}_{O^{\prime}}\right)=\limsup _{n}\left(\lim _{m} \frac{1}{m n^{d-1}} H_{t o p}\left(\bigvee_{k=0}^{m-1} f^{-k} \mathrm{P}_{n O^{\prime}}\right)\right)
$$

These quantities have different behaviour, e.g. $\eta_{d}(O)$ is proportional to the $d$-Lebesgue measure $V\left(O^{\prime}\right)$ of $O^{\prime}$ (Theorem 2 in [14]), but we will see in the proof of Theorem 1 in Section 7 that when the smallest bounding sphere of the domain $I$ of the algebraic CA $f$ is degenerated then $0<h_{\text {top }}^{d}(f)=\lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty} h_{\text {top }}^{d}\left(f, \mathcal{J}_{\widetilde{T_{R}^{\prime}}}\right.$, but $V\left(\widetilde{T_{R}^{\prime}}\right) \xrightarrow{R \rightarrow+\infty} 0$ (with $T_{R}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{D}$ as defined in Subsection 3.5).
5.2. Link with the metric mean dimension in dimension two. In a compact metric space $(X, \mathrm{~d})$, the ball of radius $\epsilon \geq 0$ centered at $x \in X$ will be denoted by $B_{\mathrm{d}}(x, \epsilon)$. For a continuous map $f: X \rightarrow X$ we denote by $\mathrm{d}_{n}$ the dynamical distance defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by

$$
\forall x, y \in X, \mathrm{~d}_{n}(x, y)=\max \left\{\mathrm{d}\left(f^{k} x, f^{k} y\right), 0 \leq k<n\right\}
$$

The metric mean dimension of $f$ is defined as $\operatorname{mdim}(f, \mathrm{~d})=\limsup _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{h_{\text {top }}(f, \epsilon)}{|\log \epsilon|}$ where $h_{\text {top }}(f, \epsilon)$ denotes the topological entropy at the scale $\epsilon>0$ :

$$
h_{t o p}(f, \epsilon):=\limsup _{n} \frac{1}{n} \log \min \left\{\sharp C, \bigcup_{x \in C} B_{\mathrm{d}_{n}}(x, \epsilon)=X\right\} .
$$

The topologial mean dimension is conjectured to be the infimum of $\operatorname{mdim}(f, \mathrm{~d})$ over all distances on $X$ (this is known for systems with the marker property). We refer to [11] for alternative definitions and further properties of mean dimension. The topological mean dimension of a finite dimensional topological system is null. Here $f$ is a CA on a $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-subshift $X$. In particular it has zero topological mean dimension.

Fix $\alpha>1$. To any exhaustion $\mathcal{J}=\left(J_{n}\right)_{n}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, we may associate an ultrametric distance $\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{J}}$ on $X_{d}$ as follows :

$$
\forall x=\left(x_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \text { and } y=\left(y_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}, \quad \mathrm{~d}_{\mathcal{J}}(x, y)=\alpha^{-\max \left\{n \in \mathbb{N}, x_{k}=y_{k} \forall k \in J_{n}\right\}}
$$

Then for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the ball $B_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{J}}}\left(x, \alpha^{-n}\right)$ with respect to $\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{J}}$ coincides with the cylinder $\mathrm{P}_{J_{n}}^{x}$. Therefore we have for any $O \in \mathcal{D}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{\text {top }}^{d}\left(f, \mathcal{J}_{O}\right) & =\limsup _{n} \frac{h_{\text {top }}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{n O}\right)}{p(n O)} \\
& =\limsup _{n} \frac{h_{\text {top }}\left(f, \alpha^{-n}\right)}{n^{d-1} p(O)} \\
& =\frac{(\log \alpha)^{d-1}}{p(O)} \limsup _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{h_{t o p}(f, \epsilon)}{|\log \epsilon|^{d-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular in dimension two we get :

$$
h_{\text {top }}^{2}\left(f, \mathcal{J}_{O}\right)=\frac{\log \alpha}{p(O)} \operatorname{mdim}\left(f, \mathrm{~d}_{\mathcal{J}_{O}}\right)
$$

For $d>2$ the mean dimension $\operatorname{mdim}\left(f, \mathrm{~d}_{\mathcal{J}_{O}}\right)$ is infinite whenever the rescaled entropy $h_{\text {top }}^{d}\left(f, \mathcal{J}_{O}\right)$ is positive. In [19] the authors compute explicitly the mean dimension of the particular CA given by the horizontal shift on a $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-subshift with respect to some metrics of the form $d_{\mathcal{J}_{O}}$ with $O$ being the unit ball of standard norms on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

Remark 11. In [19] the authors also work with a measure theoretical quantity, called the measure distorsion rate dimension and show a variational principle with the metric mean dimension of $\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{J}_{O}}$. Does this quantity coincides with $\mu \mapsto h_{\mu}^{2}\left(f, \mathcal{J}_{O}\right)$ ?
5.3. Monotonicity and Power. We investigate now basic properties of the rescaled entropy.

Lemma 5. For any $O \in \mathcal{D}$ and any $\alpha>0$, we have

$$
h_{*}^{d}\left(f, \mathcal{J}_{O}\right)=h_{*}^{d}\left(f, \mathcal{J}_{\alpha O}\right)
$$

Proof. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $k_{n}=\left\lceil\frac{n}{\alpha}\right\rceil$, thus $n O \subset k_{n} \alpha O$ and $p(n O) \sim^{n} p\left(k_{n} \alpha O\right)$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{*}^{d}\left(f, \mathcal{J}_{O}\right) & =\underset{n}{\limsup _{n}} \frac{h_{*}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{n O}\right)}{p(n O)} \\
& \leq \limsup _{n} \frac{h_{*}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{k_{n} \alpha O}\right)}{p(n O)} \\
& \leq \limsup _{n} \frac{h_{*}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{k_{n} \alpha O}\right)}{p\left(k_{n} \alpha O\right)} \\
& \leq h_{*}^{d}\left(f, \mathcal{J}_{\alpha O}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The other inequality is obtained by considering $\alpha O$ and $\alpha^{-1}$ in place of $O$ and $\alpha$.

Lemma 6. For any $O \in \mathcal{D}^{1}$ and $O^{\prime} \in \mathcal{D}$ with $O \subset \operatorname{Int}\left(O^{\prime}\right)$, we have

$$
h_{*}^{d}\left(f, \mathcal{J}_{O}\right) \leq h_{*}^{d}(f, O) \leq p\left(O^{\prime}\right) h_{*}^{d}\left(f, \mathcal{J}_{O^{\prime}}\right)
$$

Proof. As $\mathcal{J}_{O} \in \mathcal{E}(O)$ the inequality $h_{*}^{d}\left(f, \mathcal{J}_{O}\right) \leq h_{*}^{d}(f, O)$ follows from the definitions. Let now $\mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{E}(O)$. For $n$ large enough we have $\widetilde{J}_{n} \subset \operatorname{Int}\left(O^{\prime}\right)$, therefore $J_{n} \subset p\left(J_{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{d-1}} O^{\prime}$. We conlude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{*}^{d}(f, \mathcal{J}) & \leq \limsup _{n} \frac{p\left(p\left(J_{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{d-1}} O^{\prime}\right)}{p\left(J_{n}\right)} h_{*}^{d}\left(f, \mathcal{J}_{O^{\prime}}\right) \\
& \leq p\left(O^{\prime}\right) h_{*}^{d}\left(f, \mathcal{J}_{O^{\prime}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $O \in \mathcal{D}^{1}$ the origin belongs to $\operatorname{Int}(O)$ so that $\alpha O \in \mathcal{D}$ and $O \subset \operatorname{Int}(\alpha O)$ for any $\alpha>1$. Moreover we have $h_{*}^{d}\left(f, \mathcal{J}_{\alpha O}\right)=h_{*}^{d}\left(f, \mathcal{J}_{O}\right)$ by Lemma 5 . Together with Lemma 6 we get immediately :

## Corollary 12.

$$
\forall O \in \mathcal{D}^{1}, h_{*}^{d}(f, O)=h_{*}^{d}\left(f, \mathcal{J}_{O}\right)
$$

## Corollary 13.

$$
O \mapsto h_{*}^{d}(f, O) \text { is continuous on } \mathcal{D}^{1}
$$

Convex $d$-polytopes are dense in $\mathcal{D}$. Therefore we get with $\mathcal{P}$ being the collection of convex $d$-polytopes with the origin in their interior set :

## Corollary 14.

$$
\sup _{O \in \mathcal{D}^{1}} h_{*}^{d}(f, O)=\sup _{P \in \mathcal{P}} h_{*}^{d}\left(f, \mathcal{J}_{P}\right)
$$

However we will see that the supremum is not always achieved. We prove now a formula for the rescaled entropy of a power.

## Lemma 7.

$$
\forall O \in \mathcal{D}^{1} \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, h_{*}^{d}\left(f^{k}, O\right)=k h_{*}^{d}(f, O)
$$

Proof. Let $O \in \mathcal{D}^{1}$ and $\mathcal{J}=\left(J_{n}\right)_{n} \in \mathcal{E}(O)$. Let $J_{n}^{k}=J_{n} \oplus \underbrace{I \oplus \cdots \oplus I}_{k \text { times }}$ for all $n$. The sequence $\mathcal{J}^{k}=\left(J_{n}^{k}\right)_{n}$ belongs also to $\mathcal{E}(O)$. Moreover the partition $\mathrm{P}_{J_{n}^{k}}$ is finer than $\bigvee_{l=0}^{k-1} f^{-l} \mathrm{P}_{J_{n}}$. Therefore

$$
h_{*}\left(f^{k}, \mathrm{P}_{J_{n}}\right) \leq k h_{*}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{J_{n}}\right)=h_{*}\left(f^{k}, \bigvee_{l=0}^{k-1} f^{-l} \mathrm{P}_{J_{n}}\right) \leq h_{*}\left(f^{k}, \mathrm{P}_{J_{n}^{k}}\right)
$$

and we then obtain

$$
h_{*}^{d}\left(f^{k}, \mathcal{J}\right) \leq k h_{*}^{d}(f, \mathcal{J}) \leq h_{*}^{d}\left(f^{k}, \mathcal{J}^{k}\right)
$$

We conclude by taking the supremum in $\mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{E}(O)$.
Remark 15. Clearly we have $h_{\mu}^{d}(f) \leq h_{\text {top }}^{d}(f)$ for any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(f)$ but we ignore if a general variational principle holds true.
5.4. A first upperbound for the rescaled entropy. Let $(X, f)$ be a cellular automaton with domain $I$. We relate the entropy of $\mathrm{P}_{J}$ with the entropy of $\mathrm{P}_{\partial^{ \pm} J}$ and we prove an upperbound for the rescaled entropy $h_{\text {top }}^{d}(f, O)$ in term of the first $\mathbb{I}$-relative quermass integral of $O$ with $\mathbb{I}$ being the convex hull of $I^{\prime}$.
Lemma 8. For any bounded subset $J$ of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, we have

$$
h_{*}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{J}\right)=h_{*}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{\partial_{I}^{-} J}\right) \text { and } h_{*}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{J}\right) \leq h_{*}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{\partial_{I}^{+} J}\right)
$$

Proof. The inequality $h_{*}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{J}\right) \geq h_{*}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{\partial^{-} J}\right)$ follows directly from the inclusion $\partial^{-} J \subset J$. By definition of the domain $I$ and the erosion $J \ominus I$, we have $P_{J}>f^{-1} P_{J \ominus I}$. Therefore we get $f^{-1} \mathrm{P}_{J} \vee \mathrm{P}_{J}=f^{-1} \mathrm{P}_{\partial^{-} J} \vee P_{J}$ and then by induction $\mathrm{P}_{J} \vee \bigvee_{l=0}^{k-1} f^{-l} \mathrm{P}_{\partial^{-} J}=\bigvee_{l=0}^{k-1} f^{-l} \mathrm{P}_{J}$ for all $k$. We conclude that :

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{*}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{J}\right) & =\lim _{k} \frac{1}{k} H_{*}\left(f, \bigvee_{l=0}^{k-1} f^{-l} \mathrm{P}_{J}\right) \\
& \leq \lim _{k} \frac{1}{k}\left(H_{*}\left(\mathrm{P}_{J}\right)+H_{*}\left(\bigvee_{l=0}^{k-1} f^{-l} \mathrm{P}_{\partial^{-} J}\right)\right) \\
& \leq h_{*}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{\partial^{-} J}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We also have

$$
\mathrm{P}_{J} \vee \mathrm{P}_{\partial^{+} J}>\mathrm{P}_{J \oplus I}>f^{-1} \mathrm{P}_{J}
$$

Therefore we get now by induction on $k$

$$
\mathrm{P}_{J} \vee \bigvee_{l=0}^{k-2} f^{-l} \mathrm{P}_{\partial^{+} J}>\bigvee_{l=0}^{k-1} f^{-l} \mathrm{P}_{J}
$$

This implies $h_{*}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{\partial_{I}^{+} J}\right) \leq h_{*}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{J}\right)$.

Proposition 16. For any $O \in \mathcal{D}^{1}$,

$$
h_{t o p}^{d}(f, O) \leq V_{\mathbb{I}}(O) \log |\mathcal{A}| .
$$

Proof. Recall that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{t o p}^{d}(f, O)=h_{t o p}^{d}\left(f, \mathcal{J}_{O}\right) \\
&=\lim _{n} \sup ^{h_{t o p}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{n O}\right)} \\
& p(n O)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by applying Lemma 8 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{\text {top }}^{d}(f, O) \leq \limsup _{n} \frac{h_{\text {top }}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{\partial^{ \pm} n O}\right)}{p(n O)}, \\
& \leq \limsup _{n}^{\sharp \partial^{ \pm} n O \log |\mathcal{A}|} \\
& p(n O)
\end{aligned} .
$$

For all $k \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}$ we let $I_{k}$ be the domain of $f^{k}$ and we denote by $\mathbb{I}_{k}$ the convex hull of $I_{k}^{\prime}=I_{k} \cup\{0\}$. Clearly we have $I_{k} \subset \underbrace{I \oplus \cdots \oplus}_{k \text { tim }}$, therefore $\mathbb{I}_{k} \subset k \mathbb{I}$. By Lemma 2, we get for some constant $c=c(d)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{t o p}^{d}\left(f^{k}, O\right) & \leq\left(V_{\mathbb{I}_{k}}(O)+c\right) \log |\mathcal{A}|, \\
& \leq\left(V_{k \mathbb{I}}(O)+c\right) \log |\mathcal{A}| \\
& \leq\left(k V_{\mathbb{I}}(O)+c\right) \log |\mathcal{A}| .
\end{aligned}
$$

But by Lemma 11 we have $h_{\text {top }}^{d}\left(f^{k}, O\right)=k h_{\text {top }}^{d}(f, O)$, so that we finally conclude when $k$ goes to infinity

$$
h_{\text {top }}^{d}(f, O) \leq V_{\mathbb{I}}(O) \log |\mathcal{A}| .
$$

## 6. RUELLE INEQUALITY

Recall $(X, \sigma)$ denotes a $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-subshift. The topological entropy of $\sigma$ is defined for any Fölner sequence $\mathcal{L}=\left(L_{n}\right)_{n}$ (see e.g. [22]) as

$$
h_{t o p}(\sigma)=\limsup _{n} \frac{H_{t o p}\left(\mathrm{P}_{L_{n}}\right)}{\left|L_{n}\right|}
$$

Lemma 9. For all $\epsilon>0$ there exists $c>0$ such that we have for any $K \subset J$ convex bodies:

$$
H_{t o p}\left(\mathrm{P}_{J \backslash K}\right) \leq(\sharp J \backslash K+c p(J \oplus \mathrm{C})) \cdot\left(h_{t o p}(\sigma)+\epsilon\right) .
$$

Proof. Let $\epsilon>0$. As the sequence of cubes $\mathcal{C}=\left(C_{n}\right)_{n}$ defined by $C_{n}=\left[-n, n\left[{ }^{d} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}\right.\right.$ is a Fölner sequence, there is a positive integer $m$ such that $\frac{H_{t o p}\left(\mathrm{P}_{C_{m}}\right)}{\left|C_{m}\right|}<h_{t o p}(\sigma)+\epsilon$. Then for some $c=c(m)>0$ we may cover $\mathbb{Z}^{d} \cap(J \backslash K)$ by a family $\mathcal{F}$ at most $\frac{\sharp J \backslash K+c p(J \oplus \mathrm{C})}{\left|C_{m}\right|}$ disjoint translated copies of $C_{m}$. Indeed if $\mathrm{R}_{m}$ denotes a partition of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ into translated copies of $C_{m}$, then any atom $A$ of $\mathrm{R}_{m}$ with $\mathbb{Z}^{d} \cap A \cap(J \backslash K) \neq \emptyset$ either satisfies $\mathbb{Z}^{d} \cap A \subset J \backslash K$ or $\mathbb{Z}^{d} \cap A \cap\left(\partial_{C_{m}}^{-} J \cup \partial_{C_{m}}^{-} K\right) \neq \emptyset$. Clearly the number of $A$ 's in the first case is less than $\frac{\sharp J \backslash K}{\left|C_{m}\right|}$, whereas the numbers of atoms $A$ satisfying the second condition is less than $\sharp \partial_{C_{m}}^{-} J+\sharp \partial_{C_{m}}^{-} K$. Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 5, this last term is less than $c(p(J \oplus \mathrm{C})+p(K \oplus \mathrm{C}))$ for some constant $c$ depending on $m$. As $K$ is contained in $J$ we have $p(J \oplus \mathrm{C}) \leq p(K \oplus \mathrm{C})$.

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{t o p}\left(\mathrm{P}_{J \backslash K}\right) & \leq(\sharp J \backslash K+2 c p(J \oplus \mathrm{C})) \frac{H_{t o p}\left(\mathrm{P}_{C_{m}}\right)}{\left|C_{m}\right|}, \\
& \leq(\sharp J \backslash K+2 c p(J \oplus \mathrm{C})) \cdot\left(h_{t o p}(\sigma)+\epsilon\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We refine now the inequality obtained in Proposition 16 at the level of invariant measures. We recall that $\chi_{O}$ denotes the Lyapunov exponent of $f$ with respect to $O$ as defined at the end of Section 4.

## Lemma 10.

$$
\forall \mu \in \mathcal{M}(f), h_{\mu}(f, O) \leq h_{t o p}(\sigma) \int \chi_{O} d \mu
$$

Proof. For any convex domain $J$ and any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(f)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{\mu}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{J}\right) & \leq H_{\mu}\left(f^{-1} \mathrm{P}_{J} \mid \mathrm{P}_{J}\right), \\
& \leq \sum_{A \in \mathrm{P}_{J}} \mu(A) H_{\mu_{A}}\left(f^{-1} \mathrm{P}_{J}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Fix $\epsilon>0$ and let $c$ be as in Lemma 9. Then if $\left(K_{A}\right)_{A \in \mathrm{P}_{J}}$ is a family of convex bodies in $\prod_{A \in \mathrm{P}_{J}} \mathcal{E}_{f}(A, J)$ with $K_{A} \subset J$ for all $A$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{\mu}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{J}\right) & \leq \sum_{A \in \mathrm{P}_{J}} \mu(A) H_{\mu_{A}}\left(f^{-1} \mathrm{P}_{J \backslash K_{A}}\right), \\
& \leq \sum_{A \in \mathrm{P}_{J}} \mu(A) H_{t o p}\left(\mathrm{P}_{J \backslash K_{A}}\right), \\
& \leq \sum_{A \in \mathrm{P}_{J}} \mu(A)\left(\sharp J \backslash K_{A}+c p(J \oplus \mathrm{C})\right) \cdot\left(h_{t o p}(\sigma)+\epsilon\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By choosing $K_{A}$ with $\sharp J \backslash K_{A}$ minimal we obtain

$$
h_{\mu}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{J}\right) \leq\left(h_{t o p}(\sigma)+\epsilon\right) \cdot\left(\int \operatorname{gr}_{J} f d \mu+c p(J \oplus \mathrm{C})\right)
$$

Therefore we have for any convex exhaustion $\mathcal{J}=\left(J_{n}\right)_{n}$ (recall that $\left.p\left(J_{n} \oplus \mathrm{C}\right) \sim^{n} p\left(J_{n}\right)\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{\mu}^{d}(f, \mathcal{J}) & =\underset{n}{\limsup _{\sup }} \frac{h_{\mu}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{J}\right)}{p\left(J_{n}\right)}, \\
& \leq\left(h_{\text {top }}(\sigma)+\epsilon\right) \cdot\left(\limsup _{n} \int \frac{\operatorname{gr}_{J_{n}} f}{p\left(J_{n}\right)} d \mu+c\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Proposition 5 we have for all $x \in X$

$$
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\operatorname{gr}_{J_{n}} f(x)}{p\left(J_{n}\right)} \leq \sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\sharp \partial^{-} J_{n}}{p\left(J_{n}\right)}<+\infty
$$

We may therefore apply Fatou's Lemma to the sequence of functions $\left(-\frac{\mathrm{gr}_{J_{n}} f}{p\left(J_{n}\right)}\right)_{n}$ :

$$
\limsup _{n} \int \frac{\operatorname{gr}_{J_{n}} f}{p\left(J_{n}\right)} d \mu \leq \int \limsup _{n} \frac{\operatorname{gr}_{J_{n}} f}{p\left(J_{n}\right)} d \mu
$$

then

$$
h_{\mu}^{d}(f, \mathcal{J}) \leq\left(h_{t o p}(\sigma)+\epsilon\right)\left(\int \operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{J}} f d \mu+c\right)
$$

By taking the supremum over $\mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{E}(O)$ we get

$$
h_{\mu}^{d}(f, O) \leq\left(h_{t o p}(\sigma)+\epsilon\right)\left(\int \operatorname{gr}_{O} f d \mu+c\right)
$$

By Lemma 7 we have $\frac{h_{\mu}^{d}\left(f^{k}, O\right)}{k}=h_{\mu}^{d}(f, O)$ for any $k$. Apply the above inequality to $f^{k}$ :

$$
h_{\mu}^{d}(f, O) \leq\left(h_{t o p}(\sigma)+\epsilon\right)\left(\int \frac{\mathrm{gr}_{O} f^{k}}{k} d \mu+\frac{c}{k}\right) .
$$

When $k$ goes to infinity and then $\epsilon$ goes to zero, we conclude $h_{\mu}^{d}(f, O) \leq h_{t o p}(\sigma) \int \chi_{O} d \mu$.

## 7. Entropy formula for permutative CA

The cellular automaton $f$ is said permutative at $i \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ if for all pattern $P$ on $I \backslash\{i\}$ and for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ there is $b \in \mathcal{A}$ such that the pattern $P_{b}^{i}$ on $I \cup\{i\}$ given by the completion of $P$ at $i$ by $b$ satisfies $F\left(P_{b}^{i}\right)=a$, in particular $i$ belongs to the domain $I$ of $f$. The CA is said permutative when it is permutative at the nonzero extreme points of the convex hull $\mathbb{I}$ of $I^{\prime}=I \cup\{0\}$ (these points lie in $I$ ). The algebraic CA as described in the introduction are permutative.

Proposition 17. The topological rescaled entropy of a permutative $C A f$ on $X_{d}$ is given by

$$
h_{\text {top }}^{d}(f)=R_{I^{\prime}} \log |\mathcal{A}|
$$

The sets $I^{\prime}$ and $\mathbb{I}$ have the same smallest bounding sphere, thus $R_{I^{\prime}}=R_{\mathbb{I}}$. Theorem 1 , stated in the introduction, follows from Proposition 17.
Question. For a permutative CA, the uniform measure $\lambda^{\mathbb{Z}^{d}}$ with $\lambda$ being the uniform measure on $\mathcal{A}$ is known to be invariant [23]. Does the uniform measure maximize the rescaled entropy?

Recall that for any $k \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}$ we denote by $I_{k}$ the domain of $f^{k}$ and $\mathbb{I}_{k}$ the convex hull of $I_{k}^{\prime}=I_{k} \cup\{0\}$. In the following we also let $C(P, L)=\left\{\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \in X, x_{j}=p_{j} \forall j \in L\right\}$ be the cylinder associated to the pattern $P=\left(p_{j}\right)_{j \in L} \in \mathcal{A}^{L}$ on $L \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d}$. We also write $C(P)$ for this cylinder when there is no confusion on $L$.

Lemma 11. For any permutative $C A f$ and any $k \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}$, the $C A f^{k}$ is also permutative and

$$
\mathbb{I}_{k}=k \mathbb{I} .
$$

Proof. As already observed, the inclusion $\mathbb{I}_{k} \subset k \mathbb{I}$ holds for any CA (not necessarily permutative). We will show $k \operatorname{ex}(\mathbb{I}) \subset I_{k}^{\prime}$, which implies together with $\mathbb{I}_{k} \subset k \mathbb{I}$ the equality $\mathbb{I}_{k}=k \mathbb{I}$. Let $i \in \operatorname{ex}(\mathbb{I}) \backslash\{0\} \subset I$. For a fixed $k$ we prove by induction on $k$ that $f^{k}$ is permutative at $k i$, in particular $k i \in I_{k}^{\prime}$. Let $P$ be a pattern on $I_{k} \backslash\{k i\}$ and let $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Since we have $I_{k} \subset I_{k-1} \oplus I$, we may complete $P$ by a pattern $Q$ on $\left(I_{k-1} \oplus I\right) \backslash\{k i\}$. By induction hypothesis, $(k-1) i$ lies in $\operatorname{ex}\left(\mathbb{I}_{k-1}\right)$ and $i$ lies in $\operatorname{ex}(\mathbb{I})$, therefore $k i$ does not belong to $I_{k-1} \oplus(I \backslash\{i\})$, so that we have $I_{k-1} \oplus(I \backslash\{i\}) \subset\left(I_{k-1} \oplus I\right) \backslash\{k i\}$. Therefore there is a pattern $R$ on $I \backslash\{i\}$ such that $f^{k-1} C\left(Q,\left(I_{k-1} \oplus I\right) \backslash\{k i\}\right)$ is contained in the cylinder $C(R, I \backslash\{i\})$. As $f$ is permutative at $i$ there is $b \in \mathcal{A}$ with $F\left(R_{b}^{i}\right)=a$ or in other terms $f\left(C\left(R_{b}^{i}, I\right)\right) \subset C(a,\{0\})$. Since $f^{k-1}$ is permutative at $(k-1) i$, we may find $c \in \mathcal{A}$ with $f^{k-1}\left(C\left(Q_{c}^{k i}, I_{k-1} \oplus I\right)\right) \subset C(b,\{i\})$. Therefore we get

$$
f^{k}\left(C\left(Q_{c}^{k i}, I_{k-1} \oplus I\right)\right) \subset f\left(C\left(R_{b}^{i}, I\right)\right) \subset C(a,\{0\})
$$

But $I_{k}$ is the domain of $f^{k}$ and $P$ is the restriction of $Q$ to $I_{k} \backslash\{k i\}$, so that we also have $f^{k}\left(C\left(P_{c}^{k i}, I_{k}\right)\right) \subset C(a,\{0\})$, i.e. $f^{k}$ is permutative at $k i$.

For a convex $d$-polytope $J$ and a face $F$ of $J$ we consider the subset of $\partial_{\pi}^{-} J$ given by $\partial_{\mathbb{I}}^{-} F:=\partial_{\mathbb{I}}^{-} J \cap T_{F}^{+} J\left(-h_{\mathbb{I}}\left(N^{F}\right)\right)$. The sets $\partial_{\mathbb{I}}^{-} F$ for $F \in \mathcal{F}(J)$ are covering $\partial_{\mathbb{I}}^{-} J$ but do not define a partition in general. For any $F \in \mathcal{F}(J)$ we let $u^{F} \in \operatorname{ex}(\mathbb{I}) \subset I^{\prime}$ with $u^{F} \cdot N^{F}=h_{\mathbb{I}}\left(N^{F}\right)$ and we also let $d_{F}$ be the the Euclidean distance to $T_{F}$. Then for $j \in \mathbb{Z}^{d} \cap \partial_{\mathbb{I}}^{-} J$ we let $F_{j}$ be a face of $J$ such that $d_{F_{j}}\left(j+u^{F_{j}}\right)=-d_{F_{j}}(j)+u^{F_{j}} \cdot N^{F_{j}}$ is maximal among faces $F$ with $j \in \partial_{\mathbb{I}}^{-} F$. We consider then a total order $\prec$ on $\mathbb{Z}^{d} \cap \partial_{\mathbb{I}}^{-} J$ such that $i \prec j$ if $d_{F_{i}}\left(i+u^{F_{i}}\right)<d_{F_{j}}\left(j+u^{F_{j}}\right)$. We also let $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{I}}(J)$ be the subset of $\mathcal{F}(J)$ given by faces $F$ for which $u_{F}$ is uniquely defined. We denote by $\partial_{\mathbb{I}}^{\perp} J$ the subset of $\partial_{\mathbb{I}}^{-} J$ given by

$$
\partial_{\mathbb{I}}^{\perp} J:=\bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{I}}(J)} \partial_{\mathbb{I}}^{-} F .
$$

Lemma 12. With the above notations, let $j \in \mathbb{Z}^{d} \cap \partial_{\mathbb{I}}^{\perp} J$. Then

$$
\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, j+k u^{F_{j}} \notin\left\{j^{\prime}, j^{\prime} \prec j\right\} \oplus k \mathbb{I} .
$$

Proof. We argue by contradiction : there are $j^{\prime} \prec j$ and $u \in \mathbb{I}$ with $j+k u^{F_{j}}=j^{\prime}+k u$. Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{F_{j}}\left(j+k u^{F_{j}}\right) & =d_{F_{j}}\left(j+u^{F_{j}}\right)+(k-1) u^{F_{j}} \cdot N^{F_{j}} \\
d_{F_{j}}\left(j^{\prime}+k u\right) & =d_{F_{j}}\left(j^{\prime}+u\right)+(k-1) u \cdot N^{F_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We will show that the equality between these two distances implies $u=u^{F_{j}}$, therefore $j=j^{\prime}$. Indeed we have

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
d_{F_{j}}\left(j^{\prime}+u\right) & \leq \sup _{v \in \operatorname{ex}(\mathbb{I})} d_{F_{j}}\left(j^{\prime}+v\right), & u \cdot N^{F_{j}} & \leq \sup _{v \in \operatorname{ex}(\mathbb{I})} v \cdot N^{F_{j}}, \\
& \leq d_{F_{j^{\prime}}}\left(j^{\prime}+u^{F_{j^{\prime}}}\right), & & \leq h_{\mathbb{I}}\left(N^{F_{j}}\right), \\
d_{F_{j}}\left(j^{\prime}+u\right) \leq d_{F_{j}}\left(j+u^{F_{j}}\right) & u \cdot N^{F_{j}} & \leq u^{F_{j}} \cdot N^{F_{j}},
\end{array}
$$

therefore $u \cdot N^{F_{j}}=u^{F_{j}} \cdot N^{F_{j}}$, and finally $u=u^{F_{j}}$ as $j$ belongs to $\mathbb{Z}^{d} \cap \partial_{\mathbb{I}}^{\perp} J$.
For a partition P of $X$ and a positive integer $k$, we write $\mathrm{P}^{k}$ to denote the iterated partition $\bigvee_{l=0}^{k-1} f^{-l} \mathrm{P}$ in order to simplify the notations.

Lemma 13. Let $J$ be a convex d-polytope and let $k, n$ be positive integers. For any $A^{k} \in \mathrm{P}_{J}^{k}$ and any pattern $P$ on $\mathbb{Z}^{d} \cap \partial_{\mathbb{I}}^{\perp} J$, there is $w \in A^{k}$ such that $f^{k} w$ belongs to $C\left(P, \mathbb{Z}^{d} \cap \partial_{\mathbb{I}}^{\perp} J\right)$.
Proof. For any $j \in \partial_{\mathbb{I}}^{\perp} J$ we let $P_{j}$ be the restriction of $P=\left(p_{l}\right)_{l \in \partial^{\perp} J}$ to $\left\{j^{\prime}, j^{\prime} \prec j\right\}$. We show now by induction on $j \in \mathbb{Z}^{d} \cap \partial^{\perp} J$ that there is $w \in A^{k}$ with $f^{k} w \in C\left(P_{j}\right)$. By Lemma 11 the CA $f^{k}$ is permutative at $k u^{F_{j}}$ so that we may change the $\left(j+k u^{F_{j}}\right)^{\text {th }}$-coordinate of $w$ to get $w^{\prime} \in X$ with $\left(f^{k} w^{\prime}\right)_{j}=p_{j}$. Moreover the $j^{\prime}$-coordinates of $f^{k} w$ for $j^{\prime} \prec j$ only depends on the coordinates of $w$ on $\left\{j^{\prime}, j^{\prime} \prec j\right\} \oplus k \mathbb{I}$ so that by Lemma 12 we still have $f^{k} w^{\prime} \in C\left(P_{j},\left\{j^{\prime}, j^{\prime} \prec j\right\}\right)$, thus $f^{k} w^{\prime} \in C\left(P_{j^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ with $j^{\prime \prime}$ being the successor of $j$ for $\prec$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{d} \cap \partial^{\perp} J$.

Lemma 14. Let $T^{\prime}$ and $T_{R}^{\prime}, R>0$ be the polytopes associated to $\mathbb{I}$ as defined in Subsection 3.5. We have

$$
\mathcal{F}\left(T^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{I}}\left(T^{\prime}\right)
$$

and

$$
\forall R>0, \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(T_{R}^{\prime}\right) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{I}}\left(T_{R}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $F \in \mathcal{F}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ or $F \in \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(T_{R}^{\prime}\right)$. Such a face $F$ is tangent to $S_{I^{\prime}}$ at some $u \in \operatorname{ex}(\mathbb{I})$ with $u \cdot N^{F}=h_{\mathbb{I}}\left(N^{F}\right)$. Then any $v$ with $v \cdot N^{F}=h_{\mathbb{I}}\left(N^{F}\right)$ belongs to $T_{F}$. But $T_{F} \cap \mathbb{I} \subset T_{F} \cap S_{I^{\prime}}=$ $\{u\}$, therefore we have necessarily $u_{F}=u$.

We are now in a position to prove Proposition 17.
Proof of Proposition 17. The inequality $h_{\text {top }}^{d}(f) \leq R_{I^{\prime}} \log |\mathcal{A}|$ follows immediately from Proposition 16 and Proposition 7. By Lemma 13 we have for any convex $d$-polytope $O$ and any positive integer $n$

$$
\forall A^{k} \in \mathrm{P}_{n O}^{k}, \sharp\left\{A^{k+1} \in \mathrm{P}_{n O}^{k+1}, A^{k+1} \subset A^{k}\right\} \geq \sharp \partial^{\perp} n O .
$$

Consequently we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{t o p}\left(f, \mathrm{P}_{n O}\right) & \geq \sharp \partial^{\perp} n O \log |\mathcal{A}|, \\
h_{\text {top }}^{d}\left(f, \mathcal{J}_{O}\right) & \geq \limsup _{n} \frac{\sharp \partial^{\perp} n O}{n^{d-1} p(O)} \log |\mathcal{A}| .
\end{aligned}
$$

We first assume that $S_{\mathbb{I}}=S_{I^{\prime}}$ is nondegenerated. Let $T^{\prime}$ be the dual polytope of a generating polytope $T$. Note that $T^{\prime}$ is a convex body with nonempty interior containing 0 (but the origin does not lie necessarily in its interior set). By Lemma 14 we have $\mathcal{F}\left(T^{\prime}\right)=$
$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{I}}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$, therefore $\mathcal{F}\left(n T^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{I}}\left(n T^{\prime}\right)$ and $\partial^{\perp} n T^{\prime}=\partial^{-} n T^{\prime}$ for all $n$. Applying then Lemma 2 we get for some constant $c=c(d)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{\text {top }}^{d}\left(f, \mathcal{J}_{T^{\prime}}\right) & \geq \limsup _{n} \frac{\sharp \partial^{-} n T^{\prime}}{n^{d-1} p\left(T^{\prime}\right)} \log |\mathcal{A}|, \\
& \geq \frac{V_{\mathbb{I}}\left(T^{\prime}\right)}{p\left(T^{\prime}\right)} \log |\mathcal{A}|-c .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then it follows from Proposition 7 that:

$$
h_{t o p}^{d}\left(f, \mathcal{J}_{T^{\prime}}\right) \geq R_{\mathbb{I}} \log |\mathcal{A}|-c
$$

For any positive integer $k$ the above equality also holds for $f^{k}$ and $\mathbb{I}_{k}$ in place of $f$ and $\mathbb{I}$. Moreover we have $\mathbb{I}_{k}=k \mathbb{I}$ according to Lemma 11 , so that we get together with the power formula of Lemma 7 and $\widetilde{T^{\prime}}=p\left(T^{\prime}\right)^{-\frac{1}{d-1}} T^{\prime} \in \mathcal{D}^{1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{t o p}^{d}\left(f, \widetilde{T^{\prime}}\right) & =\frac{h_{t o p}^{d}\left(f^{k}, \widetilde{T^{\prime}}\right)}{k}, \\
& \geq \frac{R_{\mathbb{I}_{k}}}{k} \log |\mathcal{A}|-\frac{c}{k} \\
& \geq \frac{R_{k \mathbb{I}}}{k} \log |\mathcal{A}|-\frac{c}{k} \\
& \geq R_{\mathbb{I}} \log |\mathcal{A}|-\frac{c}{k} \\
h_{\text {top }}^{d}\left(f, T^{\prime}\right) & \geq R_{I^{\prime}} \log |\mathcal{A}|
\end{aligned}
$$

This conclude the proof in the nondegenerated case.
We deal now with the degenerated case. By Lemma 14 we have for all $R>0$ with the notations of Subsection 3.5 :

$$
h_{\text {top }}^{d}\left(f, \mathcal{J}_{T_{R}^{\prime}}\right) \geq \limsup _{n} \frac{\sharp \partial^{-} n T_{R}^{\prime}-\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{2}\left(T_{R}^{\prime}\right)} \sharp \partial^{-} n F}{p\left(n T_{R}^{\prime}\right)} \log |\mathcal{A}| .
$$

But for $F \in \mathcal{F}_{2}\left(T_{R}^{\prime}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sharp \partial^{-} n F & \leq V\left(\partial^{-} n F \oplus \mathrm{C}\right), \\
& =n^{d-1} \operatorname{diam}(\mathbb{I}) O\left(R^{l-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p\left(T_{R}^{\prime}\right)}{R^{l}}=\mathcal{H}_{d-l}\left(L^{\prime}\right)>0$ and $\left|\mathcal{F}_{2}\left(T_{R}^{\prime}\right)\right|=2 l$, we get

$$
\limsup _{n} \frac{\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{2}\left(T_{R}^{\prime}\right)} \sharp \partial^{-} n F}{p\left(n T_{R}^{\prime}\right)}=\operatorname{diam}(\mathbb{I}) O\left(R^{-1}\right) .
$$

Together with Proposition 2 we get for some constant $c=c(d)$ :

$$
h_{\text {top }}^{d}\left(f, \mathcal{J}_{T_{R}^{\prime}}\right) \geq\left(V_{\mathbb{I}}\left(T_{R}^{\prime}\right)-c-\operatorname{diam}(\mathbb{I}) O\left(R^{-1}\right)\right) \log |\mathcal{A}| .
$$

We conclude as in the degenerated case by using the power rule. Fix $\epsilon>0$ and let $k>c \epsilon^{-1}$. We obtain finally

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{\text {top }}^{d}\left(f, \widetilde{T_{R}^{\prime}}\right) & =\frac{h_{\text {top }}^{d}\left(f^{k}, \widetilde{T_{R}^{\prime}}\right)}{k} \\
& \geq\left(\frac{V_{\mathbb{I}_{k}}\left(T_{R}^{\prime}\right)}{k p\left(T_{R}^{\prime}\right)}-\epsilon-\frac{\operatorname{diam}\left(\mathbb{I}_{k}\right)}{k} O\left(R^{-1}\right)\right) \log |\mathcal{A}| \\
& \geq\left(\frac{V_{\mathbb{I}}\left(T_{R}^{\prime}\right)}{p\left(T_{R}^{\prime}\right)}-\epsilon-\operatorname{diam}(\mathbb{I}) O\left(R^{-1}\right)\right) \log |\mathcal{A}| \\
& \xrightarrow{R \rightarrow+\infty}\left(R_{I^{\prime}}-\epsilon\right) \log |\mathcal{A}|
\end{aligned}
$$

## 8. Rescaled topological entropy for endomorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-ACtions

Let $X$ be a compact metric space endowed with a $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-action $\tau$. A discrete system $(\mathbb{N}$ action) $f: X \rightarrow X$ is called an endomorphism of $(X, \tau)$ when $f$ commutes with the $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-action $\tau$. We may define the rescaled topological entropy for any endomorphism $f$ of a $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-action $(X, \tau)$ as follows. For an open (finite) cover $\mathcal{U}$ of $X$ and any convex exhaustion $\mathcal{J}=\left(J_{n}\right)_{n}$ we first let

$$
\begin{gathered}
h_{t o p}^{\tau}(f, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{J})=\limsup _{n} \frac{h_{t o p}\left(f, \bigvee_{k \in J_{n} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \tau^{-k} \mathcal{U}\right)}{p\left(J_{n}\right)}, \\
h_{\text {top }}^{\tau}(f, \mathcal{J})=\sup _{\mathcal{U}} h_{\text {top }}^{\tau}(f, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{J})
\end{gathered}
$$

Then for any $O \in \mathcal{D}^{1}$

$$
h_{\text {top }}^{\tau}(f, O)=\sup _{\mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{E}(O)} h_{\text {top }}^{\tau}(f, \mathcal{J})
$$

and

$$
h_{\text {top }}^{\tau}(f)=\sup _{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{J}} h_{\text {top }}^{\tau}(f, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{J})\left(=\sup _{\mathcal{J}} h_{\text {top }}^{\tau}(f, \mathcal{J})=\sup _{O \in \mathcal{D}^{1}} h_{\text {top }}^{\tau}(f, O)\right) .
$$

Lemma 15. The rescaled entropies $h_{\text {top }}^{\tau}(f), h_{\text {top }}^{\tau}(f, O)$ and $h_{\text {top }}^{\tau}(f, \mathcal{J})$ are invariant under conjugacy for the $\mathbb{N}$-action of $f$ and the $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-action of $\tau$.
Proof. Clearly it is enough to consider $h_{\text {top }}^{\tau}(f, \mathcal{J})$ for some convex exhaustion $\mathcal{J}=\left(J_{n}\right)_{n}$. Let $\psi: X \rightarrow Y$ be an homeomorphism. We check that $h_{\text {top }}^{\tau}(f, \mathcal{J})=h_{\text {top }}^{\tau^{\prime}}(g, \mathcal{J})$ with $g=\psi \circ f \circ \psi^{-1}$ being the endomorphism of the $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-action $\tau^{\prime}$ on $Y$ given by $\tau^{\prime}=\psi \circ \tau \circ \psi^{-1}$. For any open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of $X$ we have with $\mathcal{V}=\psi(\mathcal{U})$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{\text {top }}^{\tau}(f, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{J}) & =\limsup _{n} \frac{h_{\text {top }}\left(f, \bigvee_{k \in J_{n} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \tau^{-k} \mathcal{U}\right)}{p\left(J_{n}\right)} \\
& =\limsup _{n} \frac{h_{t o p}\left(g, \bigvee_{k \in J_{n} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \tau^{\prime-k} \mathcal{V}\right)}{p\left(J_{n}\right)} \\
& =h_{\text {top }}^{\tau^{\prime}}(g, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{J})
\end{aligned}
$$

The map $\mathcal{U} \mapsto \psi(\mathcal{U})$ is a bijection between open covers of $X$ and $Y$. Therefore we get $h_{\text {top }}^{\tau}(f, \mathcal{J})=h_{\text {top }}^{\tau^{\prime}}(g, \mathcal{J})$.

Remark 18. (i) If $Y$ is a a compact subset of $X$ invariant under $f$ and $\tau$, then the restriction $f_{Y}$ of $f$ to $Y$ satisfies $h_{\text {top }}^{\tau}\left(f_{Y}, \mathcal{J}\right) \leq h_{\text {top }}^{\tau}(f, \mathcal{J})$ for any convex exhaustion $\mathcal{J}$.
(ii) By following straightforwardly the proofs in Section 5.3 we get again $h_{\text {top }}^{\tau}(f, O)=$ $h_{\text {top }}^{\tau}\left(f, \mathcal{J}_{O}\right)$ and $h_{\text {top }}^{\tau}\left(f^{k}, O\right)=k h_{\text {top }}^{\tau}(f, O)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $O \in \mathcal{D}^{1}$.
Let $\tau_{1}, \cdots, \tau_{d}$ be the commuting transformations on $X$ generating the $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-action $\tau$, i.e. $\tau^{k}=\tau_{1}^{k_{1} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{d}^{k_{d}} \text { for any integer } d \text {-tuple } k=\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{d}\right) \text {. For an integer matrix } A=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{i, j} \in, ~(2)}$ $M_{d}(\mathbb{Z})$ with non-zero determinant, we let $\tau_{A}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-action generated by $\tau^{l_{1}}, \cdots, \tau^{l_{d}}$ with $l_{1}, \cdots, l_{d}$ being the columns of $A$. Then $\tau_{A}^{k}=\tau^{A k}$ for any integer $d$-tuple $k$. Let $\mathbb{B}^{d}$ be the unit Euclidean ball of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.
Lemma 16. With the previous notations, we have for any $O \in \mathcal{D}^{1}$ :

$$
h_{t o p}^{\tau_{A}}(f, O)=\operatorname{det}(A) h_{t o p}^{\tau}(f, \widetilde{A O}) \int h_{A^{-1} \mathbb{B}^{d}} d \sigma_{O}
$$

Proof. Firstly we observe that $p(A J)=\operatorname{det}(A) \int h_{A^{-1 \mathbb{B}^{d}}} d \sigma_{J}$ for any convex domain $J$. Indeed, it follows from Proposition 3 that :

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(A J) & =\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{V\left(A J \oplus \rho \mathbb{B}^{d}\right)-V(A J)}{\rho} \\
& =\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{V\left(A\left(J \oplus \rho A^{-1} \mathbb{B}^{d}\right)-V(A J)\right.}{\rho} \\
& =\operatorname{det}(A) \lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{V\left(J \oplus \rho A^{-1} \mathbb{B}^{d}\right)-V(J)}{\rho} \\
& =\operatorname{det}(A) \int h_{A^{-1} \mathbb{B}^{d}} d \sigma_{J}
\end{aligned}
$$

For any subset $J$ of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $x \in J$ there is $y \in(J \oplus \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ with $\|x-y\| \leq \sqrt{d}$. In particular we have $\left.A J \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d} \subset B_{J}:=\{-\lceil\sqrt{d}\|A\|\rceil\rceil, \cdots,-\lceil\sqrt{d}\|A\| \|\rceil\right\} \oplus A\left((J \oplus \mathrm{C}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}\right)$.

Let $\mathcal{U}$ be an open cover of $X$ and put $\mathcal{U}_{A}=\bigvee_{|k| \leq\lceil\sqrt{d}\|A\| \|\rceil} \tau^{-k} \mathcal{U}$. Let $\mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{E}(O)$. We recall that $\mathcal{J} \oplus \mathrm{C}:=\left(J_{n} \oplus \mathrm{C}\right)_{n}$ defines a convex exhaustion in $\mathcal{E}(O)$ with $p\left(J_{n} \oplus \mathrm{C}\right) \sim^{n} p\left(J_{n}\right)$. Then we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{t o p}^{\tau_{A}}\left(f, \mathcal{U}_{A}, \mathcal{J} \oplus \mathrm{C}\right) & =\limsup _{n} \frac{h_{t o p}\left(f, \bigvee_{k \in\left(J_{n} \oplus \mathrm{C}\right) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \tau_{A}^{-k} \mathcal{U}_{A}\right)}{p\left(J_{n} \oplus \mathrm{C}\right)} \\
& =\limsup _{n} \frac{h_{t o p}\left(f, \bigvee_{k \in A\left(\left(J_{n} \oplus \mathrm{C}\right) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}\right)} \tau^{-k} \mathcal{U}_{A}\right)}{p\left(J_{n}\right)} \\
& =\limsup _{n} \frac{h_{t o p}\left(f, \bigvee_{k \in B_{J_{n}}} \tau^{-k} \mathcal{U}\right)}{p\left(J_{n}\right)}, \\
& \geq \limsup _{n} \frac{h_{t o p}\left(f, \bigvee_{k \in A J_{n} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \tau^{-k} \mathcal{U}\right)}{p\left(J_{n}\right)}, \\
& \geq \operatorname{det}(A) \limsup _{n}\left(\frac{h_{t o p}\left(f, \bigvee_{k \in A J_{n} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \tau^{-k} \mathcal{U}\right)}{p\left(A J_{n}\right)} \int h_{A^{-1} \mathbb{B}^{d}} d \sigma_{\widetilde{J_{n}}}\right) \\
& \geq \operatorname{det}(A) h_{t o p}^{\tau}(f, \mathcal{U}, A \mathcal{J}) \int h_{A^{-1} \mathbb{B}^{d}} d \sigma_{O}
\end{aligned}
$$

As the map $\mathcal{J}=\left(J_{n}\right)_{n} \mapsto A \mathcal{J}=\left(A J_{n}\right)_{n}$ is a bijection from $\mathcal{E}(O)$ to $\mathcal{E}(\widetilde{A O})$, we get by taking the supremum over $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{E}(O)$ :

$$
h_{t o p}^{\tau_{A}}(f, O) \geq \operatorname{det}(A) h_{t o p}^{\tau}(f, \widetilde{A O}) \int h_{A^{-1} \mathbb{B}^{d}} d \sigma_{O} .
$$

In the same way the other inequality is obtained (more easily) by observing that $A J \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d} \supset$ $A\left(J \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}\right)$ for any subset $J$.

For $A=k \operatorname{Id}$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we get $h_{\text {top }}^{\tau_{A}}(f, O)=k^{d-1} h_{\text {top }}^{\tau}(f, \widetilde{A O})$ and therefore $h_{\text {top }}^{\tau_{A}}(f)=$ $k^{d-1} h_{\text {top }}^{\tau}(f)$. In particular the rescaled entropy may be not invariant under topological conjugacy of the $\mathbb{N}$-action of the endomorphism $f$ when the conjugacy does not preserve the $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-action.

The $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-action $(X, \tau)$ is said expansive when there is an open cover $\mathcal{U}$ such that the cover $\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \tau^{-k} \mathcal{U}$ is the partition into singletons. Such an open cover $\mathcal{U}$ is called a $\tau$-generator.

Lemma 17. Assume $(X, \tau)$ is expansive and let $\mathcal{U}$ be a $\tau$-generator. Then for any $O \in \mathcal{D}^{1}$

$$
h_{\text {top }}^{\tau}(f, O)=\sup _{\mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{E}(O)} h_{\text {top }}^{\tau}(f, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{J})
$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be an open cover of $X$. There is a bounded subset $I$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ such that the open cover $\bigvee_{k \in I} \tau^{-k} \mathcal{U}$ is finer that $\mathcal{V}$. Let $\mathcal{J}=\left(J_{n}\right)_{n} \in \mathcal{E}(O)$ for $O \in \mathcal{D}^{1}$. Then we get :

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{\text {top }}^{\tau}(f, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{J} \oplus I) & =\limsup _{n} \frac{h_{\text {top }}\left(f, \bigvee_{k \in\left(J_{n} \oplus I\right) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \tau^{-k} \mathcal{U}\right)}{p\left(J_{n} \oplus I\right)} \\
& =\limsup _{n} \frac{h_{t o p}\left(f, \bigvee_{k \in J_{n} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \tau^{-k}\left(\bigvee_{l \in I} \tau^{-l} \mathcal{U}\right)\right)}{p\left(J_{n}\right)} \\
& \geq \limsup _{n} \frac{h_{\text {top }}\left(f, \bigvee_{k \in J_{n} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \tau^{-k} \mathcal{V}\right)}{p\left(J_{n}\right)} \\
& \geq h_{\text {top }}^{\tau}(f, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{J}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By taking the supremum over convex exhaustions $\mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{E}(O)$ and open covers $\mathcal{V}$ of $X$, we get $\sup _{\mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{E}(O)} h_{\text {top }}^{\tau}(f, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{J}) \geq h_{\text {top }}^{\tau}(f, O)$. This concludes the proof of the lemma as the other inequality follows straightforwardly from the definition of $h_{\text {top }}^{\tau}(f, O)$.

For a CA we recover the definition of rescaled entropy of Section 5 by considering the generator given by the zero-coordinate partition.

An algebraic $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-action $\tau$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-action by automorphisms of a compact abelian group $X$. By Pontryagin duality, there is a one-to-one correspondence between algebraic $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-actions and modules $M$ over the ring $R_{d}=\mathbb{Z}\left[u_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \cdots, u_{d}^{ \pm 1}\right]$. The $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-shift on $X_{p}=\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}^{d}}$ (resp. $\left.X_{\infty}=(\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{Z}^{d}}\right)$ is associated to the module $M=\widehat{X_{p}}=R_{d} /<p>$ with $p$ a rational prime (resp. $M=\widehat{X_{\infty}}=R_{d}$ ). Then algebraic endomorphisms of these $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-actions, i.e. group homomorphisms $f: X \rightarrow X$ commmuting with the $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-action, are given by algebraic CA. As a consequence of Theorem 1 we get :
Corollary 19. Let $f \neq \pm \mathrm{Id}, 0$ be an algebraic $C A$ on $X_{\infty}$. Then we have

$$
h_{t o p}^{d}(f)=+\infty
$$

Proof. For some finite family $\left(a_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{*}$ we have :

$$
\forall\left(x_{j}\right)_{j} \in(\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{Z}^{d}}, f\left(\left(x_{j}\right)_{j}\right)=\left(\sum_{i \in I} a_{i} x_{i+j}\right)_{j}
$$

We first consider the case $I \neq\{0\}$. Then for some arbitrarily large rational prime $p$, the domain of the algebraic $\mathrm{CA} f_{p}$ on $\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}^{d}}$ associated to the family $\left(\overline{a_{i}}\right)_{i \in I}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ is also non trivial and therefore $h_{\text {top }}^{d}\left(f_{p}\right) \geq \frac{\log p}{2}$. But $\left(X_{p}, f_{p}\right)$ is conjugated for the $\mathbb{N}$ - and $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-actions to the subsystem $\left(Y, f_{Y}\right)$ of $\left(X_{\infty}, f\right)$ with $Y=\left(\frac{1}{p} \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}^{d}} \subset X_{\infty}$. By Lemma 15 and Remark 18 (i) we conclude $h_{\text {top }}^{d}(f)=+\infty$.

Finally assume $I=\{0\}$ and $a_{0} \neq \pm 1$. Let $f_{a_{0}}$ be the $\times a_{0}$ circle map. We consider an open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of $\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}$ with $h_{\text {top }}\left(f_{a_{0}}, \mathcal{U}\right) \simeq h_{\text {top }}\left(f_{a_{0}}\right)=\log \left|a_{0}\right|$. Let $\mathcal{V}=\mathcal{U} \times(\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{Z}^{d} \backslash\{0\}}$ be the induced zero-coordinate cover of $X_{\infty}$. Then we have for any convex exhaustion $\mathcal{J}=\left(J_{n}\right)_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{t o p}\left(f, \bigvee_{k \in J_{n} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \sigma^{-k} \mathcal{V}\right) & \simeq \sharp J_{n} h_{t o p}\left(f_{a_{0}}\right), \\
& \simeq \sharp J_{n} \log \left|a_{0}\right|, \\
h_{\text {top }}^{d}(f, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{J}) & =\limsup _{n} \frac{h_{t o p}\left(f, \bigvee_{k \in J_{n} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \sigma^{-k} \mathcal{V}\right)}{p\left(J_{n}\right)}, \\
& =\log \left|a_{0}\right| \lim _{n} \sup _{\sharp J_{n}}^{p\left(J_{n}\right)}=+\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that we clearly have $h_{\text {top }}^{d}(f)=0$ for $a_{0} \in\{ \pm 1\}$ and $I=\emptyset(f \equiv 0)$.
Question. Does the formula of the rescaled entropy for algebraic CA obtained in Theorem 1 generalize to algebraic endomorphisms of other $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-actions (associated to modules $M \neq$ $\left.R_{d}, R_{d} /<p>\right)$ ?

Remark 20. We only deal in this last section with the generalization of the rescaled topological entropy, but one may also define similarly a measure theoretical rescaled entropy for general endomorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-actions.
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